1	STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284) United States Attorney	
3	THOMAS A. COLTHURST (CABN 99493) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5	LAURA VARTAIN HORN (CABN 258485) NICHOLAS WALSH (CABN 314290) Assistant United States Attorneys	
6 7 8	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7200 Laura.Vartain@usdoj.gov Nicholas.Walsh@usdoj.gov	
9	NICHOLAS O. HUNTER (DCBN 1022355) STEPHEN MARZEN (NYBN 2007094) Trial Attorneys, National Security Division	
11 12 13	950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20530 Tel: (202) 353-3434 Fax: (202) 233-2146 Nicholas.Hunter@usdoj.gov Stephen.Marzen@usdoj.gov	
14 15	Attorneys for United States of America	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. 18-CR-00465 MMC
20	Plaintiff,)
21	v.) UNITED STATES' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S WAIVER OF JURY TRIAL
22	FUJIAN JINHUA INTEGRATED CIRCUIT)
23	CO., LTD,	
24	Defendant.))
25))
26 27		
۷		

1 2 3

The United States is prepared to consent to defendant Fujian Jinhua Integrated Circuit Company Limited's ("Jinhua") waiver of jury trial, and respectfully asks the Court to ensure (1) an adequate waiver by Jinhua, as discussed below; and (2) that trial will begin as currently scheduled, and will run on the previously set schedule.

The Ninth Circuit generally requires a jury trial waiver to be personally executed in writing by the defendant. *See United States v. Laney*, 881 F.3d 1100, 1107-08 (9th Cir. 2018) ("[W]e hold that the proper practice under Rule 23(a) is for the defendant to personally execute the written waiver; a written stipulation signed by defense counsel alone...will not raise a presumption of validity. Nevertheless, the absence of a defendant's signature will not constitute reversible error if the record otherwise shows that the defendant's waiver was voluntary, knowing, and intelligent."). Here, a written waiver by a representative of Jinhua with decision-making authority, or an in-person colloquy is necessary to satisfy Rule 23(a). *See United States v. Saadya*, 750 F.2d 1419, 1420 (9th Cir. 1985).

The United States previously agreed to the short continuation requested by the defendant on the basis that its corporate representatives needed more time to secure visas, despite the inconvenience to the United States' witness schedules. Travel for the United States' international witnesses is set, as is travel for many domestic witnesses. Therefore, the United States respectfully asks that the Court also maintain the current schedule. The last-minute waiver is yet another unexpected change to the longstanding plan to try this case by jury and on the schedule set last year. The United States is concerned about additional, last-minute proposed changes and would like to ensure that, as to the schedule, it remain as set to the maximum extent possible.

//

//

//

· || //

//

 $_{7}\parallel_{/}$

Case 3:18-cr-00465-MMC Document 350 Filed 02/15/22 Page 3 of 3

Further, should the Court approve the waiver, the United States respectfully requests the Court's guidance as to whether to proceed by Opening Statement or Opening Trial Brief. STEPHANIE M. HINDS Dated: February 15, 2022 United States Attorney $/_{\rm S}/$ LAURA VARTAIN HORN NICHOLAS WALSH **Assistant United States Attorneys** NICHOLAS O. HUNTER STEPHEN MARZEN Trial Attorneys, National Security Division

RESPONSE TO JURY TRIAL WAIVER 18-CR-00465 MMC